

Christina,

Updates have been shared **EVERY** week, and back-copies can be found on both the Facebook Group and the web site (Board members will need to use the test login they were provided in order to see the complete update on the web site, as the content is in the members-only area. To find it most quickly, they'd want to select the obscurely-named option "Committee Updates" from the menu.)

As our Board liaison, I would have hoped that you would have been aware of, and possibly even have reviewed these at the time they were provided. If you or the board is now interested in them, they can still be found in the following locations:

Week 1 [Facebook Website](#)

Week 2 [Facebook Website](#)

Week 3 [Facebook Website](#)

Week 4 [Facebook Website](#)

Week 5 ends today; Its update was already written and auto-posted to the web site at 10 p.m. **As she has done consistently from the beginning**, Robyn will also copy the content to the Facebook Group.

As for changelogs and detail, you may recall that after you blithely dismissed our concerns of the abusive and bullying behavior of a board member as being our own fault, and stated that it would be cleared up "once we had a plan," we augmented the **detailed, four-page plan that the board had already reviewed and approved and which is still posted in the Members Group** with an **even-more-detailed** project plan. It was posted [in the Facebook group](#) two weeks ago, and is still accessible [here](#).

You mentioned that the board's inquiry regarding e-mail security was likely to be followed up by a request for information regarding the content and security of the web site. The board was sent [documentation of the entire site architecture](#) on February 27, which shows the **structure, security, and content ownership of everything on the site**.

You noted two items for which we are awaiting board response. I note five, with two additional items awaiting response from specific board members:

1. Content Guidelines [\[sent 3 March\]](#)
[Noted: Alternate guidelines may exist, since Marion assured us on Feb 12 that the board would be discussing this on the 16th and would provide guidance]
2. Response to the newsletter copyright issues [\[sent 1 March\]](#)
[These are not "concerns" - they are multiple violations of federal law (each carrying a penalty of up to \$150,000 in addition, of course, to attorney fees and court costs) for which the club is liable, and is legally responsible for taking immediate action to address once identified. The board was notified of these federal violations a week ago, including being advised of the appropriate mitigation steps to help indemnify the organization and demonstrate a good faith attempt to rectify the infraction, and has not yet even acknowledged receipt of the information, much less taken action.]
3. Membership Management functions review
[Amelia had already put off meeting to review these functions four times before she left; they were merely forwarded to the board as an option to address task load after her abrupt departure, since they have the ability to automate over 90% of the work associated with membership management. If the board prefers to assign a board member to handle those tasks manually until a new secretary is selected, there is no impact to web site progress]
4. Member E-Mail address corrections/updates for members (Secretary) [\[sent 11 Feb\]](#)

[Needed in order to create member accounts for the site]
5. Clarifications regarding material submitted to be posted to the site (Judges Ed; Questions 3 & 4 of 4) [\[sent Feb 14\]](#)

6. E-Mail accounts [6 Feb]

[On Feb. 6, a board member requested an audit of the email accounts and forwards. This was completed and returned the same day. We are still waiting for the board to advise which accounts are currently in use, and which are not in use, so that we can close the vulnerable accounts (those that are not used and therefore not monitored, therefore more easily subject to hijacking and abuse) as well as updating archiving settings to something more reliable and searchable. Instead of attending to this core security need, the board has repeatedly requested that Robyn re-verify that the webmaster is the only person who can manage the accounts, and identify who has access to each account]

7. Member Request for Web Site Functionality [sent ~7 Feb]

Susan Griffin sent a functionality request that we add a member 'swap meet'/classified ad area. Since the sales element creates liability, we requested Board Guidance and a response to this member request

Christina, I must say this is immensely disappointing. Your message demonstrates that neither the board nor you, as our supposed liaison who should have the strongest interest in our work, have bothered to read or review the updates or other materials provided, have not even made an effort to keep track of our requests for support/guidance to the board, and are now, instead, asking us to repeat and resend work not because it is needed and unavailable but because the board is so disorganized, disconnected, and disinterested that it has not managed to notice that these things are already in their possession.

Frankly, five days after the most recent board resignation, one would think the board's priorities would be on filling - or perhaps even informing members of - the latest board vacancy (perhaps, this time, even doing so in a manner that meets their obligations under our bylaws, unlike the handling of the previous board departure) or on addressing the massive legal liability that exposes the club to over a half million dollars in penalties, and of which the board was informed a full *week* ago, but which it has not yet bothered to review or address.

When encouraging me to take on this task, you made much of the fact that this board is focused on being the "newer, better" board and not repeating the mistakes of the past. If that is the case, I am sorry to inform you that this board is not meeting its objective. Indeed, it would appear that the board as a whole is paying as little attention to its primary responsibilities as you have paid to your responsibilities as our liaison.

Robyn, the tasks I agreed to complete for this project were done within the first ten days. I have more than met my obligation and commitment to the site conversion. With the sending of this message, I relinquish control of the Beauceorg email account to you as previously discussed and agreed, and formally step down from this project.

Di

----- Forwarded message -----

From: <region4@beauce.org>

Date: Thu, Mar 8, 2018 at 1:07 PM

Subject: WC updates

To: webmaster@beauce.org

Cc: Beauceorg@gmail.com

Robyn/Di,

Could the BOD get another update, similar to the "Month 1 Week 1 Website Committee Update" one? It had a lot of good information. :)

Also, could the BOD get a weekly update (with change logs), at least during these initial phases with increased activity? (I realized we didn't define an update schedule, so just let me know if something else makes more

sense.)

I also wanted to follow up and see if there are any outstanding questions the WC need responses on?
I know of 2: We are working on reviewing the Content Management document and will provide feedback shortly,
and I believe Di had some copyright questions we still need to respond to.

Thank you,
Christina